International Journal of Humanities and Social Science
ISSN (Online): 2208-2174
Volume 10 Issue 03 September 2025

DOI: 10.53555/eijhss.v10i1.246

PLAGIARISM DETECTION: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Bornali Konwar*

**Librarian, Borholla College, Jorhat, Assam.*

***Corresponding Author:** Email-konwarbornali2@gmail*

ABSTRACT

Plagiarism remains a significant concern in academic, professional, and creative domains, undermining integrity and originality. With the proliferation of digital content and advanced technologies, detecting plagiarism has become both critical and complex. This paper explores the multifaceted issues and challenges in plagiarism detection, including the evolving nature of plagiarism, limitations of current detection tools, and ethical considerations. It examines technological advancements like AI-based detection systems and their effectiveness in identifying various forms of plagiarism, such as direct copying, paraphrasing, and self-plagiarism. Challenges like cross-lingual plagiarism, false positives, and the ethical implications of AI-driven detection are discussed. The paper also highlights the role of academic policies and the need for education on ethical writing practices. By analyzing current trends and future directions, this study aims to provide insights for researchers, educators, and policymakers to enhance plagiarism detection mechanisms and promote academic integrity.

Keywords: *Plagiarism detection, academic integrity, AI-based tools, cross-lingual plagiarism, ethical writing, similarity checking*

1. INTRODUCTION

Plagiarism, defined as the unauthorized use or imitation of another's work without proper attribution, is a persistent issue in academia and beyond (Oxford University, 2021). The digital era has amplified the problem, with easy access to vast online resources increasing opportunities for both intentional and unintentional plagiarism. The rise of generative AI tools, such as ChatGPT, further complicates the landscape by enabling sophisticated paraphrasing and content generation (Scribbr, 2023). Effective plagiarism detection is essential to uphold academic integrity, protect intellectual property, and maintain credibility in scholarly work

.

2. OBJECTIVES-

This paper aims to:

1. Define the scope and types of plagiarism in modern contexts.
2. Evaluate the capabilities and limitations of current plagiarism detection tools.
3. Identify key challenges, including technological, ethical, and cultural barriers.
4. Propose strategies to enhance detection mechanisms and promote ethical writing practices.

3. METHODOLOGY-

The study draws on recent literature and case studies to provide a comprehensive analysis, emphasizing the need for robust, adaptable, and ethically sound detection systems.

4. DATA ANALYSIS-

4.1. Types of Plagiarism -

Plagiarism manifests in various forms, each presenting unique detection challenges (iLovePhD, 2025) :

- Cloning Plagiarism: Identical copying of text without attribution.
-Remix Plagiarism : Combining content from multiple sources without citation.
- Ctrl+C Plagiarism: Copying significant portions from a single source without changes.
- Hybrid Plagiarism: Mixing cited and uncited content to obscure plagiarism.
- Find and Replace Plagiarism: Altering keywords while retaining the original structure.
- Self-Plagiarism: Reusing one's own previously published work without disclosure.
- 404 Error Plagiarism: Citing nonexistent or incorrect sources.
- Aggregator Plagiarism: Compiling cited content without original contribution.
- Re-Tweet Plagiarism: Mimicking the structure or wording of a source despite proper citation.
- AI-Assisted Plagiarism: Using AI tools to generate or paraphrase content without acknowledgment.

Each type requires tailored detection strategies, as traditional tools may struggle with nuanced forms like paraphrasing or cross-lingual plagiarism, where content is translated from another language (Copyleaks, 2022).

4.2. Current Plagiarism Detection Technologies

Plagiarism detection tools have evolved significantly, leveraging AI and natural language processing (NLP) to identify similarities across vast databases. Key tools include:

- Turnitin : Widely used in academia, powered by iThenticate, it compares submissions against a database of over 50 million articles (Elsevier, 2023).
- Scribbr: Excels in detecting paraphrased and heavily edited texts, with an 88% detection rate (Scribbr, 2024).
- Grammarly : Combines plagiarism detection with grammar and AI-use checks (Grammarly, 2015).
- Copyleaks : Supports cross-lingual detection and AI-generated content analysis (Copyleaks, 2022).
- Paperpal : Offers free checks for up to 7,000 words, targeting academic writing (Paperpal, 2023).

These tools use algorithms to perform:

1. Text Similarity Analysis : Matching exact or near-identical text against web pages, journals, and student submissions.
2. Semantic Analysis : Detecting paraphrased content by analyzing meaning and context.
3. Cross-Lingual Detection : Identifying translated plagiarism across languages.
4. AI Detection : Flagging content generated by AI tools like ChatGPT.

4.4. DESPITE ADVANCEMENTS, LIMITATIONS PERSIST:

- Database Constraints : Tools like Turnitin may miss content from non-participating publishers or pre-digital era sources (Elsevier, 2023).
- False Positives/Negatives : Common phrases or properly cited text may be flagged incorrectly, while sophisticated paraphrasing may go undetected (Scribbr, 2024).
- Language Barriers : Cross-lingual detection is limited by database coverage and translation accuracy (Copyleaks, 2022).

5. CHALLENGES IN PLAGIARISM DETECTION

5.1 Technological Limitations

- Database Gaps : No tool covers all global publications, especially non-English or non-digital sources (Elsevier, 2023).
- Paraphrasing and AI : Advanced paraphrasing and AI-generated content often evade detection due to altered wording or context (Scribbr, 2023).
- Cross-Lingual Plagiarism : Limited multilingual databases hinder detection of translated content (Copyleaks, 2022).

5.2 Ethical and cultural issues
- Unintentional Plagiarism : Students in non-Anglophone countries often lack training in citation practices, leading to accidental plagiarism (PMC, 2023).
- Over-Reliance on Tools : Sole dependence on similarity scores can mislabel legitimate work or miss nuanced plagiarism (Elsevier, 2023).
- AI Ethics : AI-driven detection raises privacy concerns, as student work is stored and compared, potentially without consent (Scribbr, 2023).
- Cultural Differences : Varying definitions of academic integrity across cultures complicate global standards (PMC, 2023).

5.3 Institutional and Policy Challenges
- Inconsistent Policies : Universities and journals apply different thresholds for acceptable similarity, creating confusion (Oxford University, 2021).
- Resource Constraints : Smaller institutions may lack access to premium detection tools, relying on less accurate free versions (Paperpal, 2023).
- Retraction Delays : Identifying plagiarism post-publication is challenging, with retractions often delayed, allowing flawed work to be cited (Elsevier, 2023).

5.4 Emerging Threats
- Generative AI : Tools like ChatGPT enable undetectable plagiarism by generating original-like text (Grammarly, 2015).
- Predatory Publishing : Recycled or plagiarized content in low-quality journals evades detection due to poor indexing (PMC, 2023).
5. Strategies to Address Challenges
To overcome these challenges, a multifaceted approach is needed:
1. Technological Advancements :
- Develop AI tools with enhanced semantic and cross-lingual capabilities.
- Expand databases to include non-English and pre-digital content.
- Integrate AI detectors to identify generative AI content (Copyleaks, 2022).
2. Educational Initiatives:
- Implement mandatory courses on academic integrity and citation practices.
- Provide resources in multiple languages to address cultural gaps (Oxford University, 2021).
3. Policy Reforms:
- Standardize plagiarism policies across institutions and journals.
- Encourage transparent reporting of similarity scores with contextual analysis (Elsevier, 2023).
4. Ethical AI Use:
- Ensure data privacy in detection tools through secure storage and deletion policies (Scribbr, 2024).
- Promote responsible AI use in writing to prevent misuse (Grammarly, 2015).
5. Collaboration :
- Foster global cooperation among publishers to expand shared databases like Crossref Similarity Check (Elsevier, 2023).
- Support open-access initiatives to make scholarly content more accessible for detection.

6. CONCLUSION
Plagiarism detection remains a dynamic field, challenged by evolving technologies, cultural differences, and ethical dilemmas. While tools like Turnitin, Scribbr, and Copyleaks have advanced detection capabilities, limitations such as database gaps, false positives, and AI-generated content pose significant hurdles. Addressing these requires a blend of technological innovation, educational reform, and standardized policies. By fostering global collaboration, enhancing AI capabilities, and prioritizing ethical writing education, stakeholders can strengthen plagiarism detection systems. Future research should focus on developing robust cross-lingual and AI-detection tools while balancing ethical considerations. Ultimately, promoting a culture of academic integrity is as crucial as technological solutions in ensuring originality and credibility in scholarly work.

REFERENCES

1. Copyleaks. (2022). Free plagiarism checker - Detect AI plagiarism. Retrieved from https://copyleaks.com (https://copyleaks.com/plagiarism-checker)
2. Elsevier. (2023). Plagiarism detection. Retrieved from https://www.elsevier.com (https://www.elsevier.com/en-in/editor/perk/plagiarism-complaints/plagiarism-detection)
3. Grammarly. (2015). Instant plagiarism check for essays and documents. Retrieved from https://www.grammarly.com [](https://www.grammarly.com/plagiarism-checker)
4. iLovePhD. (2025). 10 types of plagiarism in research paper writing. Retrieved from https://www.ilovephd.com (https://www.ilovephd.com/10-types-of-plagiarism-every-academic-writer-should-know/)
5. Oxford University. (2021). Plagiarism. Retrieved from https://www.ox.ac.uk (https://www.ox.ac.uk/students/academic/guidance/skills/plagiarism)

6. Paperpal. (2023). Free plagiarism checker online for students and researchers. Retrieved from https://paperpal.com (https://paperpal.com/tools/plagiarism-checker)
7. PMC. (2023). Plagiarism in the context of education and evolving detection strategies. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov (https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5494318/)
8. Scribbr. (2023). How to avoid plagiarism: Tips on citing sources. Retrieved from https://www.scribbr.com (https://www.scribbr.com/plagiarism/how-to-avoid-plagiarism/)
9. Scribbr. (2024). Best free plagiarism checkers: Tested & reviewed. Retrieved from https://www.scribbr.com (https://www.scribbr.com/plagiarism/best-free-plagiarism-checker/)