THE EVOLUTION AND TRANSFORMATION OF TEACHER EDUCATION: A COMPREHENSIVE GLOBAL AND NATIONAL ANALYSIS OF POLICY, PEDAGOGY, AND DIGITAL INTEGRATION

Gopal Singh*

*Assistant Professor Department of Education Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India. Email- gopal@csjmu.ac.in ORCID- 0000-0003-0662-6146

Abstract
The architecture of a nation's development is inextricably linked to the quality of its educational institutions, and at the heart of these institutions lies the teacher. Teacher education is defined as the system designed to equip prospective and practicing educators with the knowledge, attitudes, behaviors, and skills necessary to perform their roles effectively within the classroom, the school, and the broader community.[1] Far from being a static training program, teacher education is a continuous process that encompasses the initial preparation of new teachers, their induction into the profession, and their ongoing professional development throughout their careers.[2] In the modern era, the role of the teacher has undergone a radical transformation, shifting from a mere disseminator of knowledge to a facilitator of inquiry, creativity, and inclusive learning.[2] This evolution reflects a growing recognition that high-quality teaching is the most significant school-based predictor of student achievement and that consecutive years of outstanding instruction can offset the learning deficits of even the most disadvantaged students.[5]

Keywords: Teacher education, Global and National Policy, Pedagogy and Digital Integration

Introduction

Historical Foundations and Global Trajectories

The history of teacher education reveals a trajectory from informal community-based approval to highly regulated, university-integrated professional models. Understanding this evolution is essential for contextualizing current reforms and identifying the persistent challenges that continue to shape the profession.

Global Milestones in the 18th and 19th Centuries

Formalized teacher training began to gain prominence in the 18th century as educational pioneers recognized the necessity of quality, educated teachers to train others.[6] During the colonial era and into the early decades of the 19th century, the teaching force was predominantly male, often consisting of local farmers, tavern keepers, or individuals with no demonstrated talent for other gainful employment who "kept school" during the off-season.[7] For better-educated young men, teaching served as a stepping-stone to more prestigious professions such as law or the clergy.[8]

The mid-19th century witnessed the "Common School" era, led by reformers such as Horace Mann and Henry Barnard, who advocated for a system of free, universal, and non-sectarian schooling.[8] This movement necessitated a vast expansion of the teaching workforce, leading to the "feminization" of the profession. Women were increasingly recruited because they were perceived as naturally suited for the nurturing and patient requirements of education, and crucially, they could be hired at significantly lower wages than men, which was vital as immigration swelled the school-going population.[7] This period also saw the establishment of "Normal Schools," which offered the first structured training focused on educational philosophy, teaching methods, and practical experience.[6]

The Evolution of Pedagogy in the 20th Century

As the 20th century progressed, teacher education began to integrate complex psychological and philosophical theories. The work of Johann Friedrich Herbart introduced a systematic pedagogy based on rational psychology, which spread from Europe to the United States and Japan.[10] Later, the Progressive Education movement, championed by John Dewey, emphasized tapping into the life experiences and cultural backgrounds of students, moving away from rote memorization toward a student-focused, flexible model of instruction.[10]

By the middle of the 20th century, the entry standards for teaching had risen significantly. The one-room schoolhouse was replaced by graded classrooms with uniform texts, and the requirement for a bachelor's degree became the standard for the profession.[7] The "evolution into a university" model saw normal schools expand into state teachers' colleges and eventually into comprehensive universities, where schools of pedagogy were established to elevate the preparation of teachers to the same status as lawyers and doctors.[9]

Historical Development of Teacher Education in India

The Indian context presents a rich and complex history of teacher education, shaped by ancient traditions, colonial interventions, and post-independence nation-building efforts.

Historical Period	Characteristics of Teacher Preparation	Regulatory Frameworks
Ancient/Vedic Period	Gurukul system; focus on spiritual knowledge and the Vedas; teaching was the exclusive privilege of Brahmins.[1]	Informal; based on the monitorial system where senior students assisted the teacher.[1]
Buddhist Period	Formal teacher training emerged; teaching open to all enlightened persons regardless of caste.[1]	Rigorous training under the supervision of an experienced teacher.[1]
Muslim Period	No formal training system; education occurred in Madrasahs and Maktabs.[1]	Focused on the Quran and religious instruction.[1]
British Period (Early)	Establishment of Western-style normal schools to meet the demand for English-medium teachers.[1]	Wood's Despatch (1854) and Lord Stanley's Despatch (1859) recommended formal training schools.[1]
British Period (Late)	First training college set up at Saidapet (1886); introduction of pedagogical research.[1]	Sadler Commission (1917) and Sargent Report (1944) emphasized professional standards.[1]

Post-independence reforms sought to modernize the system further. The Radhakrishnan Commission (1948-49) recommended that teacher training programs be flexible and adaptable to social situations, stressing that theory and practice should support each other.[1] The Kothari Commission (1964-66) stands as a landmark, asserting that "quality" is

the essence of teacher education and recommending a sound program of professional education for teachers at all levels.[1] These recommendations paved the way for the National Policy on Education (1968), which recognized teachers as central to the education system and called for improved salaries, working conditions, and in-service training.[13]

Theoretical Frameworks in Modern Teacher Education
The transition from "teacher training" to "teacher education" reflects a shift toward a more robust theoretical foundation. Modern teacher preparation is anchored in frameworks that define the specialized knowledge teachers need and the reflective practices that enable them to improve.

Shulman's Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK)
In the 1980s, Lee Shulman addressed the historical dichotomy in teacher education, where subject matter knowledge and

pedagogical techniques were treated as separate domains.[15] Shulman argued that effective teaching requires "Pedagogical Content Knowledge" (PCK), which is the unique blend of content and pedagogy that makes particular aspects of subject matter comprehensible to others.[16] PCK involves the transformation of subject matter through interpretation and the selection of representations—such as analogies, illustrations, and examples—that are most germane to its teachability.[16] For a teacher to possess high levels of PCK, they must understand:
- The central facts, concepts, and theories within their field.[17]
- The explanatory frameworks that connect and organize ideas.[17]
- The specific difficulties and misconceptions students encounter when learning particular topics.[18]
- The pedagogical techniques that are most effective for addressing those misconceptions and promoting student learning.[16]

Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK)
The digital transformation of the 21st century necessitated an extension of Shulman's framework. Mishra and Koehler introduced the TPACK framework, which incorporates technology as a third, equally critical component of teacher knowledge.[16] TPACK recognizes that teaching with technology is a complex activity occurring in dynamic, ill-structured environments.[16] Expert technology integration requires a nuanced understanding of the interplay between three main components: Content (C), Pedagogy (P), and Technology (T).[16]

TPACK Component	Definition and Implication
Content Knowledge (CK)	The teacher's knowledge of the actual subject matter to be learned or taught.[16]
Pedagogical Knowledge (PK)	General classroom practices, strategies, and methods of teaching and learning.[16]
Technological Knowledge (TK)	Knowledge of standard and advanced technologies, from books to digital tools.[16]
Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK)	The intersection of content and pedagogy; how to teach specific subject matter.[15]
Technological Content Knowledge (TCK)	Understanding how technology and content influence and constrain one another.[16]
Technological Pedagogical Knowledge (TPK)	Understanding how pedagogy and technology influence one another; how specific tools change teaching.[16]
Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK)	The situated form of knowledge required for expert technology integration in specific contexts.[16]

The TPACK framework suggests that technology integration is not a "technocratic" exercise of learning software skills but a "transactional and codependent construction" where the introduction of a new tool may disrupt the existing pedagogical and content equilibrium.[16]

Reflective Practice and Experiential Learning

Theoretical knowledge must be mediated by reflection to be effective in a classroom setting. Reflective practice, a concept popularized by Donald Schön, involves a continuous cycle of self-observation and self-evaluation to understand one's own actions and the reactions they prompt in learners.[20] Schön distinguished between "Reflection-on-Action" (thinking back on a lesson after it has concluded) and "Reflection-in-Action" (adjusting teaching strategies in the moment based on student engagement).[20]

David Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle provides a structured model for this reflection, involving four stages:
1. Concrete Experience: The teacher encounters an incident or event during teaching.[20]
2. Reflective Observation: The teacher examines what happened and how it aligns or conflicts with their existing understanding.[22]
3. Abstract Conceptualization: The teacher draws conclusions, linking the experience with preparatory learning and research to form new theories.[20]
4. Active Experimentation: The teacher uses these new insights to create plans for further learning experiences and tests them in the classroom.[22]

Reflective practice is particularly powerful for personal and professional development as it encourages awareness of uncomfortable feelings and thoughts, leading to emotional growth and pedagogical transformation.[20]

National Education Policy (NEP) 2020: A Visionary Reform
In India, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marks a radical shift in the teacher education landscape, aiming to transform educators into scholar-practitioners who can meet the challenges of the 21st century.[2] NEP 2020 places the teacher at the center of fundamental reforms, recognizing high-quality instruction as an essential prerequisite for quality learning.[25]

Transition to Integrated Teacher Education Programs (ITEP)
One of the most significant structural changes proposed by NEP 2020 is the mandate that all Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs) transition into Integrated Teacher Education Programs (ITEP) by 2030.[2] The policy discourages single-disciplinary, isolated teacher training colleges and instead promotes the integration of teacher education within multidisciplinary universities.[4] This move is intended to facilitate cross-disciplinary learning, collaborative research, and a broader understanding of knowledge systems—skills that are crucial for preparing teachers to lead students in a multidisciplinary world.[4]

The ITEP is a 4-year dual-major bachelor's degree that students can join immediately after high school. This program combines theoretical pedagogical knowledge with extensive practical classroom experience from the beginning of the course, moving away from the "piece-meal" practice teaching models of the past.[2]

National Professional Standards for Teachers (NPST)
To ensure quality and accountability, the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) has developed the Guiding Document on National Professional Standards for Teachers (NPST), as envisioned in Para 5.20 of the NEP 2020.[25] The NPST provides a platform for defining the work and conduct expected of teachers at different levels of their career, ensuring they are motivated, highly qualified, and well-equipped to teach all learners.[25]

These standards are intended to determine all aspects of teacher career management, including recruitment, professional development, salary increases, and recognitions.[25] The goal is to create a transparent system that rewards excellence and provides a clear pathway for professional growth.

Continuous Professional Development (CPD)
Recognizing that the field of education is rapidly changing, NEP 2020 mandates that every teacher participate in at least 50 hours of Continuous Professional Development (CPD) annually.[4] These CPD programs cover a wide range of areas, including:
- New pedagogical approaches and inquiry-based learning.[3]
- Integration of technology in the classroom.[4]
- Inclusive education and sensitization to diverse learner needs.[2]
- Classroom management and socio-emotional learning.[4]

High-quality CPD has been shown to have a significant effect on student learning outcomes, often greater than other structural interventions such as lengthening the school day or implementing performance-related pay.[30] It serves to close the gap between beginning and experienced teachers and is a cost-effective intervention for improving overall educational quality.[30]

Comparative Analysis of Global Excellence Models
The success of a teacher education system is often measured by its performance in international assessments like PISA. Singapore and Finland are frequently held up as exemplars of educational excellence, despite having distinct philosophies and social contexts.[31]

The Finnish Model: Trust, Autonomy, and Equity

The Finnish education system is characterized by high levels of trust and autonomy for teachers.[31] Teacher education in Finland is a research-based Master's degree program that is highly selective, attracting top-performing students.[31] Once in the classroom, Finnish teachers enjoy significant freedom to design their own lessons and choose their own teaching methods.[33]

Finland's success is attributed to its focus on equity rather than competition. There is a lack of standardized testing in basic education, and the curriculum emphasizes the development of critical thinking, creativity, and social skills alongside academic achievement.[31] The system also values the well-being of both students and teachers, with shorter school days and a strong emphasis on work-life balance.[31]

The Singaporean Model: Meticulous Planning and Meritocracy

In contrast, Singapore's education system is built on a "human capital engine" model designed to drive economic growth.[34] The system is highly stratified and emphasizes academic rigor, competition, and performance in standardized tests.[31] Teacher education in Singapore is centralized at the National Institute of Education (NIE), which works closely with the Ministry of Education to align training with national goals.[32]

Singapore devotes immense resources not only to high achievers but also to vocational and technical systems, ensuring that students at all levels are prepared for the workforce.[34] The teaching profession in Singapore is highly respected, with structured career paths and significant funding for research and professional development.[31]

Country	Philosophical Core	Teacher Preparation Level	Assessment Approach
Finland	Equity, Trust, Creativity, Well-being.[31]	Research-based Master's Degree.[31]	High teacher autonomy; lack of standardized tests.[31]
Singapore	Meritocracy, Efficiency, Economic Alignment.[31]	Centralized NIE Training; Govt. Sponsored.[32]	High-stakes testing; focus on scientific/technical skills.[31]

While both countries have achieved high PISA scores, their successes stem from different societal values. Finland combines high quality with equity, while Singapore focuses on aspiration and motivation to strive for success.[33] However, in both contexts, a stable political and social climate, coupled with a high overall quality of teachers, remains the key factor in academic excellence.[32]

Challenges and Barriers in Implementation: The Case of Uttar Pradesh

Despite the ambitious vision of NEP 2020, the ground reality of teacher education and recruitment in India is fraught with challenges, particularly in populous states like Uttar Pradesh.

Recruitment Vacancies and Rural-Urban Disparities

India's education system faces a critical teacher shortage, with over one million vacancies concentrated in rural areas.[36] Uttar Pradesh and Bihar alone account for over 100,000 teacher vacancies each.[36] In Uttar Pradesh, nearly 80% of teacher vacancies are in rural regions, where poor infrastructure and a lack of basic amenities make these positions less attractive for potential candidates.[36] This led to the prevalence of single-teacher schools, with Madhya Pradesh holding the nationwide record with 21,000 such institutions.[36]

The student-teacher ratio (STR) also reflects stark regional disparities. While the national average is $23:1$, states like Bihar report a ratio of $45:1$, and Uttar Pradesh reports $29:1$, significantly higher than the exemplary $7:1$ found in Ladakh.[36] These high ratios overburden existing teachers, leaving many unprepared for classroom challenges and discouraging new aspirants.[36]

The Crisis of Contractual Teachers: "Shiksha Mitras"

The mass appointment of contractual teachers began around 2000-01 under the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan to boost enrollment while keeping the wage burden low.[37] In Uttar Pradesh, over 1.46 lakh "Shiksha Mitras" (para-teachers) are employed on 11-month contracts.[38] While their duties are often identical to those of regular teachers, their pay and benefits are drastically lower.[38]

The legal battle for regularization has been long and tumultuous. In 2014, the UP government regularized 1.78 lakh contractual teachers, raising their monthly honorarium from ₹ **3,500** to ₹ **39,000**.[37] However, this move was challenged, and the Supreme Court eventually quashed the appointments, ruling that contractual teachers must clear the Teacher Eligibility Test (TET) to be regularized.[37] Consequently, their salaries were slashed back to contractual levels.[38]

Teacher Type in UP	Monthly Salary/Honorarium	Terms of Employment
Regular Assistant Teacher	₹40,240 (starting)	Permanent;PF,Rent,Paid Leave.[38]
Shiksha Mitra (Contract)	₹10,000	11-month contract; No benefits.[38]
Part-time Instructor	₹7,000	Annual renewal; Temporary.[39]

Low pay and job insecurity have led to frequent protests and even suicides among Shiksha Mitras.[38] Many are forced to moonlighting as tailors, rickshaw drivers, or salesmen to make ends meet, which severely impacts the quality of education they can provide to their students.[39]

Infrastructure Gaps and Inclusive Education
Inclusive education is central to NEP 2020's goal of social justice, but actual progress in classrooms remains uneven.[29] Physical accessibility is a glaring issue; nearly one-third of Indian schools lack ramps, and over 25% do not have disabled-friendly toilets.[29] For children with special needs (CWSN), these "institutional failures" are often treated as secondary concerns rather than preconditions for participation.[29]

Furthermore, most teachers receive little or no training in inclusive pedagogy and feel unequipped to support diverse learners.[29] Social exclusion is rarely singular; students from marginalized communities—Dalit, Adivasi, and Muslim—experience compounded marginalization, especially if they are girls with disabilities.[29] Subtle forms of "tracking" and labeling persist, where these students are implicitly held to lower expectations.[29]

Modern Trends: AI and Digital Transformation in Teacher Education
The 21st century has introduced a rapid digital transformation, shifting the role of the teacher from a knowledge dispenser to a digital facilitator. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the most significant contemporary trend reshaping teacher education.[41]

AI Competency for Teachers
UNESCO and other international bodies advocate for the use of AI to empower teaching and learning while emphasizing that teachers must possess a specific set of competencies to use these tools ethically and effectively.[42] This has led to the emergence of "AI Pedagogical Content Knowledge" (IPACK), which describes the knowledge needed to integrate AI into subject-specific instruction.[43]

Key dimensions of AI competency for teachers include:
- **Ethical Citizenship:** Understanding AI's impact on well-being and engaging in reflection regarding data privacy and copyright.[42]
- **Technological Mobilization:** Understanding AI fundamentals and secure data handling.[42]
- **Instructional Design:** Harnessing AI for adaptive learning pathways and automated differentiation.[42]
- **Critical Thinking:** Recognizing potential biases in AI algorithms and reflecting on one's own use of AI.[42]

AI is increasingly used in four main aspects of a teacher's job: teaching (pedagogical assistants), learning (personalized pathways), assessment (grading and diagnostic tools), and administration.[42] Research indicates that AI-supported professional development can strengthen teachers' capacity to design interactive learning environments and enhance student engagement.[44]

Addressing the Digital Divide
The push for digital learning has highlighted the persistent "digital divide." realization of NEP 2020's potential is hindered by disparities in digital literacy among teachers and the high cost of ICT infrastructure.[27] Fewer than 15% of rural households with children with special needs have access to appropriate assistive digital tools, and many EdTech platforms ignore the principles of Universal Design for Learning (UDL).[29]

Bridging this gap requires not only the provision of hardware but also the development of localized resource materials that reflect regional languages and cultures, making digital education more inclusive.[4]

Conclusions and Future Directions
Teacher education in the 21st century is no longer a one-time qualification but a lifelong research-based journey. The ambitious vision of NEP 2020—to transform Teacher Education Institutions into multidisciplinary integrated programs—represents a necessary turning point for India's educational trajectory.[2] For this vision to be realized, stakeholders at all levels must move beyond policy obligations toward a moral imperative to shape an equitable and empowered future.[4]

Successfully navigating this transition requires:
- **Strengthening Recruitment and Support:** Transitioning away from mass contractual hiring to stable, well-compensated, and professionally supported teacher workforces.[36]
- **Bridging the Theory-Practice Gap:** Enhancing the "functional" part of teacher preparation through reflective cycles and clinical practice in real classroom settings.[20]

- **Fostering a Culture of CPD:** Moving from sporadic training to continuous, collaborative professional learning that empowers teachers to adopt evidence-based practices.[24]

- **Ensuring Digital Equity:** Equipping all teachers with AI and digital competencies while ensuring that students in remote and marginalized communities have equitable access to the technologies that shape modern learning.[36]

The ultimate success of educational reform depends on re-establishing teachers as respected and essential members of society who are given the autonomy, dignity, and resources to shape the next generation of citizens.[26] As the global community moves toward more personalized, interactive, and AI-supported education, the teacher remains the indispensable anchor of the human learning experience.

References
1. All Study Journal. (n.d.). *Teacher education and NEP 2020: Transforming teacher training programs*. https://www.allstudyjournal.com/article/1450/7-5-14-145.pdfArticle-14. (n.d.). *Why UP school teachers on contract drive rickshaws, tailor clothes despite BJP promises, winning court cases*. https://article-14.com/post/why-up-school-teachers-on-contract-drive-rickshaws-tailor-clothes-despite-bjp-promises-winning-court-cases-677b4993691a2
2. Atlantis Press. (n.d.). *Teachers competencies in the era of artificial intelligence*. https://www.atlantis-press.com/article/126012432.pdf
3. Britannica. (n.d.). *Teacher education: 19th century, 20th century, reforms*. https://www.britannica.com/topic/teacher-education/Late-19th-and-early-20th-century-developments
4. Connex Academy. (n.d.). *Why continuing professional development (CPD) is essential for schools*. https://connex-academy.com/why-continuing-professional-development-for-schools/
5. DRPress. (n.d.). *Comparative analysis of education system between Singapore and Finland*. https://drpress.org/ojs/index.php/ijeh/article/download/23366/22918/30583
6. EBSCO. (n.d.). *History of teacher education*. https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/education/history-teacher-education
7. EBSCO. (n.d.). *Pedagogical content knowledge*. https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/education/pedagogical-content-knowledge
8. EBSCO. (n.d.). *Teaching history*. https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/teaching-history
9. Education Policy Institute. (n.d.). *Evidence review: The effects of high-quality professional development on teachers and students*. https://epi.org.uk/publications-and-research/effects-high-quality-professional-development/
10. Educational Process: International Journal. (n.d.). *Exploring the impact of continuous professional development on teacher performance: Insights from students and teachers*. https://edupij.com/index/makale_indir/599
11. Frontiers in Education. (2025). *Digital learning in the 21st century: Trends, challenges, and innovations in technology integration*. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/education/articles/10.3389/feduc.2025.1562391/full
12. IJCRT. (2018). *Historical development of teacher education*. https://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJPUB1801321.pdf
13. IJET. (n.d.). *Rethinking teacher education programmes for inclusive education*. https://www.ijiet.org/vol9/1189-P011.pdf
14. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts. (n.d.). *Role of national policies in shaping secondary teacher education: A historical perspective*. https://www.multiarticlesjournal.com/counter/d/4-2-87/IJCRM20254287.pdf
15. KUEY. (n.d.). *Unlocking potential: A case study on tribal education in Uttar Pradesh for sustainable development*. https://kuey.net/index.php/kuey/article/view/9046
16. Lund University. (n.d.). *Means to an end: A comparative review of Finland and Singapore's basic education systems* (Master's thesis). https://lup.lub.lu.se/student-papers/record/5469230/file/5469368.pdf
17. Mantra4Change. (2024). *Teacher education and training in India*. https://www.mantra4change.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/Teacher-Education-and-Training-in-India.pdf
18. MDPI. (2024). *Fostering teachers' digital competence in AI-supported learning environments: Implications for interactive teaching and student achievement*. https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/15/23/12597
19. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). *National education policy 2020*. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
20. National Council for Teacher Education. (n.d.). *National professional standards for teachers (NPST)*. https://ncte.gov.in/Website/PDF/NPST/NPST-Book.pdf
21. Newsclick. (n.d.). *UP education minister shatters Shiksha Mitras' hopes for 'one rank one pay'; No permanent status*. https://www.newsclick.in/education-minister-shatters-shiksha-mitras-hopes-one-rank-one-pay-no-permanent-status
22. Northwestern University. (n.d.). *Reflective practice models*. https://www.northwestern.edu/lead-engage/im ages/reflective_practice_models.pdf
23. Open Knowledge Repository (World Bank). (n.d.). *Getting the right teachers into the right schools: Managing India's teacher workforce*. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/7a283931-06f5-52fd-b54c-c80_61c35580d
24. Pakistan Social Sciences Review. (n.d.). *The impact of continuing professional development on teaching practices among secondary school teachers in the Larkana Division*. https://ojs.pssr.org. pk/journal/article/ download/1089/885/1904
25. PBS. (n.d.). *Only a teacher: Teaching timeline*. https://www.pbs.org/onlyateacher/timeline.html

26. PMC. (n.d.). *Reflective practices in education: A primer for practitioners*. https://pmc.ncb i.nlm.nih.gov/ articles/PMC10228263/
27. ResearchGate. (n.d.). *Introducing technological pedagogical content knowledge*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242385653
28. ResearchGate. (n.d.). *Teacher education for AI competency*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386493351
29. Rethinking Schools. (n.d.). *Teachers through history: The myth of the golden age*. https://rethinki ngschools.org/ articles/teachers-through-history-the-myth-of-the-golden-age/
30. Simply Psychology. (n.d.). *Kolb's learning styles & experiential learning cycle*. https://www.sim plypsychology.org/learning-kolb.html
31. Social Research Foundation. (n.d.). *From vision to implementation: NEP 2020 and the evolution of teacher education policy in India*. https://socialresearchfoundation.com/new/publish-journal.php?editID=11637
32. Structural Learning. (n.d.). *Knowledge of teaching and learning: Pedagogical content knowledge*. https://www.structural-learning.com/post/pedagogical-content-knowledge
33. Times of India. (n.d.). *Why is India struggling to fill its teacher vacancies?* https://timesofi ndia.indiati mes.com/education/news/why-is-india-struggling-to-fill-its-teacher-vacancies/articleshow/116294846.cms
34. UTUPub. (n.d.). *A comparative study of Finland and Singapore*. https://www.utupub.fi/bitstre am/10024/143689/2/LIMAiHong.pdf
35. World Bank. (n.d.). *Getting the right teachers into the right schools: Managing India's teacher workforce*. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/7a283931-06f5-52fd-b54c-c8061c35580d